

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,647	07/13/2001	Peter J. Welter	60170-300301 2687	
7590 11/24/2004		EXAMINER		
PAUL HICKMAN			HARRELL, ROBERT B	
PATENT ATT	ORNEY			
PERKINS COI	E LLP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. BOX 2168	3		2142	
MENLO PARK	K, CA 94026-2168			

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del>; (,                                   </del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/905,647	WELTER ET AL.				
Office Actio	on Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Robert B. Harrell	2142				
The MAILING DA Period for Reply	TE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTHE MAILING DATE O  - Extensions of time may be ava after SIX (6) MONTHS from the lf the period for reply specified If NO period for reply is specified Failure to reply within the set o	F THIS COMMUNICATION. ilable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 e mailing date of this communication. above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply ed above, the maximum statutory period we rextended period for reply will, by statute, e later than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(6) 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to co	mmunication(s) filed on 13 Ju	ly 2001 et al.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FIN</b>	<b>AL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above of 5) ☐ Claim(s) is 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>31-77</u> is/7) ☐ Claim(s) is	are rejected.	n from consideration.					
Application Papers							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) file Applicant may not re Replacement drawi	equest that any objection to the one of the correction of the corrections are the corrections.	. s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objuding frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objudinger. Note the attached Office	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some color None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		·					
Attachment(s)							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (2)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Pal</li> </ol>	(PTO-892) tent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
	ement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTC	O-152)			

- 1. Claims 31-77 are presented for examination.
- 2. Claims 1-30 have been cancelled by the Preliminary Amendment filed 1/29/2002 (page 1).
- 3. The Substitute Specification, from which all reference shall be made, filed 1/29/2002, is acceptable and has been entered into this application.
- 4. All United States Applications mentioned in the disclosure of this application must be updated with corresponding United States Patent Numbers or their status (i.e., pending, abandoned, exc...).
- 5. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 6. Drawings, and drawings amendments, filed to date (including those of 10/2/2001, 1/24/2002, and 1/29/2002) are acceptable and have been entered into this application. However, it is not clearly certain if figure 1 is Prior Art per page 2 (paragraph [0006]) to page 3 (paragraph [0009]). If figure 1 is prior art, it must be so labeled.
- 7. Each figure should be individually mentioned in the Brief Description of the Drawings (i.e., on page 6 (line 13) use "Figures 3A, 3B, 3C, 3D, are 3E" and not a grouped list as provided on that line, same for other such figures). Thus the Specification is objected to for informal matters.
- 8. The applicant should use this period for response to thoroughly and very closely proof read and review the whole of the application for correct correlation between reference numerals in the textual portion of the Specification and Drawings along with any minor spelling errors, general typographical errors, accuracy, assurance of proper use for Trademarks TM, and other legal symbols ®, where required, and clarity of meaning in the Specification, Drawings, and specifically the claims (i.e., claim 76 is concatenated with claim 75). Minor typographical errors could render a Patent unenforceable and so the applicant is strongly encouraged to aid in this endeavor.
- 9. Use of active hyperlink and/or other forms of browser executable code is improper (see MPEP 608.01) and must be removed (see page 12 as one example, all others must also be removed). The reason being many OCR softwares will automatically associate (convert) such as an active (clickable) URL. Also, due to the dynamic nature of such links, they tend to become disabled rather then not in a relatively short time compared to the life of a Patent
- 10. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 11. Claims 31-77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as the invention. The scope of meaning of the following claim language is not clear:
- a) "the computer"--claims 31, 53, 54 (line 1) [suggest deleting "the"];
- b) "said formatted"—claim 55.
- 12. As to 11 (a-b) above, these are but a few examples of numerous cases where clear antecedent bases are lacking and not an exhausting recital. Any other term(s) or phrase(s) over looked by examiner and not listed above which start with either "the" or "said" and do not have a single proper antecedent bases also is indefinite for the reasons outlined in this paragraph. Also, these are but a few examples where term(s) or phrase(s) are introduced more than once without adequate use of either "the" or "said" for the subsequent use of the term(s) or phrase(s). Moreover, multiple introduction of a term, or changes in tense, results in a lack of clear antecedent bases for term(s) or phrase(s) which relied upon the introduced term. Failure to correct all existing cases where clear antecedent bases are lacking can be viewed as non-responsive.
- 13. Per claim 77 (last two lines) it is not certain if the test configuration file is created based on just the test or the results of the test; that is, the claim is incomplete as most testing is conducted and yet the configuration file appears to be formulated only on the original test itself with intermediate results ignored by the claim.
- 14. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this action:

## A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for the purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language;
- 15. Claims 31-77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Dantressangle (US 6,446,120 B1).

- 16. Prior to addressing the grounds of the rejections below, should this application ever be the subject of public review by third parties not so versed with the technology (i.e., access to IFW through Public PAIR (as found on http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair)), this Office action will usually refer an applicant's attention to relevant and helpful elements, figures, and/or text upon which the Office action relies to support the position taken. Thus, the following citations are neither all-inclusive nor all-exclusive in nature as the whole of the reference is cited and relied upon in this action as part of the substantial evidence of record. Also, no temporal order was claimed for the acts and/or functions.
- 17. Per claim 31, Dantressangle taught a method (e.g., see Abstract (line 1 (second word))) for creating a web transaction test (e.g., see Abstract (line 2 (second to last word))), the method comprising computer-implemented steps of:
- a) sending formatted information (e.g., see figure 4 (402) and/or figures 8-9) to a web browser (e.g., see col. 10 (line 31- et seq.));
- b) in response to sending the formatted information, receiving a formatted message (e.g., see figure 12 and col. 11 (line 50-et seq.)); and,
- c) developing a test configuration file (e.g., see figure 4 (404) and figure 5 (504)) from the formatted message (e.g., see Title, Abstract, and col. 5 (line 66-et seq.)).
- 18. Per claim 32-52 see figure 5 (500) which could be automatic without any restriction excluding manual intervention per col. 7 (lines 3-18) with the layout form of figures 8 and 9 having entries filled from a list of first available alternatives (i.e., port 8081 over any known alternative open ports (i.e., port 80)) influenced by information contained on a web site (a web site having port 23 closed) and second alternatives (i.e., Test Host) as influenced by which list of available alternatives were selected all in HTML and HTTP format suggested by figure 12 using TCP/IP (per col. 1 (line 13) for testing all web related items (per col. 4 (line 35-et seq.)) such as URLs, Links, Radio Buttons, frames, and other such Web Based items, scheduled for at least immediate testing (or OS based software launching) with window information and repetition information as shown in figure 8 for a site to be tested ("802" of figure 8) for compared test results (per figure 13 (1308)) from looping through the test which yield second formatted information.
- 19. Per claims 53-77, these claims do not teach or defined above the correspondingly rejected claims given above, and are thus rejected for the same reasons given above.
- 20. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) days from the data of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (see MPEP 710.02, 710.02(b)).
- 21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert B. Harrell whose telephone number is (571) 272-3895. The examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Friday from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm and on weekends from 6:00 am to 12 noon Eastern Standard Time.

- 22. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack B. Harvey, can be reached on (571) 272-3896. The fax phone number for all papers is (703) 872-9306.
- 23. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

ROBERT B. HARRELL PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2142